ABSTRACT

Fine-needle aspirates are still the basis of cytopathology for neoplasms of visceral organs; nevertheless, there is an increasing role for ancillary testing. Specimens obtained are not always optimal, and prevent a conclusive diagnosis when other complementary tests are needed to reach this goal. This study aims at evaluating a novel technology called “CytoMatrix” to entrap the cytology collections in a synthetic matrix that can be processed as a histology specimen, allowing to perform immunohistochemical and molecular biology analyses. Cytological material from twenty-five fine-needle aspirates were collected from different anatomical areas and from benign and malignant lesions. The collected aspirated material was transferred onto CytoMatrix, and processed for histology, immunohistochemistry and FISH analyses. In all the cases processed with the synthetic matrix, final diagnosis was reached. Nevertheless, immunohistochemistry and FISH were successfully performed on the malignant neoformations analyzed and the data produced allowed to precisely define the phenotype of the cancer. The results show that this synthetic matrix allows an easy and fast analysis of morphological and molecular characteristics of fine-needle aspirate material from various lesions.
INTRODUCTION
In the panorama of diagnostics of any type of superficial or deep neoformation, cytology has always been considered less reliable than histology. Considered a very simple method, with little risk for the patient and almost no impact on the lesion, it is unfortunately in many cases not very exhaustive, with the need for further study with histology (1, 2). The reasons are many: from the lack of representation of the structure in which the sampling is carried out (cell types are recognized but not their organization), to the difficult standardization of the fixing and sampling procedures, in addition to the specific dependence of the sampler. Nevertheless, the fact that once fixation and staining have taken place, the material is no longer usable for further diagnostic investigations, is a significant limitation (3-6).

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Cases enrolled
Twenty-five fine needle samples were performed on neoformations of various organs, in detail parotid, breast, skin and lymph nodes. Cases were enrolled randomly. The samples were collected with a 5 ml syringe mounting a 27-gauge needle, by applying negative pressure. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects before the collection of the fine needle samples with CytoMatrix. This device was developed and patented by UCS Diagnostic S.r.l. (Pub No. WO2018083616. International Application No: PCT/IB2017/056812). For all cases, classic histopathology analysis was accomplished on tissues obtained either through surgical excision or tru-cut biopsy.

CytoMatrix methodology
Fine needle aspirates were moulded on the CytoMatrix sponge. This synthetic matrix is made up of chitosan, a biocompatible material characterized by high ion affinity for cell samples. Chitosan has the capability of efficiently entrapping very small amounts of biological material taken up by needle aspirates, such as single cells or microscopic cell aggregates, inside its three-dimensional structure. The sponge was, then, inserted into a plastic bio-cassette and handled with the steps of classical histological technique. In detail, the aspirated complex CytoMatrix-material was processed as follows: fixation in formalin for at least 12 hours; processing, paraffin embedding and microtome sectioning; application to the sections obtained, of the various diagnostic techniques used in the histopathology laboratory, such as histological staining, immunohistochemistry and FISH.

Histological staining, immunohistochemistry and FISH
Paraffin sections obtained from the cytological material entrapped in CytoMatrix were cut at 5 µm using a microtome LEICA SM 2000R (Advanced Research Systems Inc., Macungie, PA), dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated through a series of graded ethanol solutions and stained with Gill’s Haematoxylin and Eosin (Bio-Optica, Milan). Immunohistochemistry was executed on an automated immunostainer (Bond-III, Leica, Biosystems, Italy), as previously described (10). The primary antibodies used were respectively: Estrogen receptor (clone 6F11), Progesterone receptor (clone 16), ki67 (clone MM1), c-erbB-2 (clone CB11) and E-Cadherin (clone 36B5) (Leica, Biosystems, Italy). Images were obtained by using a light microscope (Microscope Nikon ECLIPSE 55i) equipped with a Digital Image Capture software (Leica Application Suite V4.8). FISH was performed in breast cancers showing 2/3 + HER2 immunohistochemical protein expression using a HER2/CEN 17 dual-color probe kit (ZytoLight Spec, Bremerhaven, Germany). Each slide was observed by using a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse e1000-Nikon) to evaluate the signal of hybridization. A signal ratio of HER2 to chromosome 17 was recorded in a count of a minimum of 50 tumor
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IMPACT STATEMENT
Definition of an original synthetic matrix that allows an easy and fast analysis of morphological, immunohistochemical and molecular characteristics of fine needle aspirate material from various malignancies.
cells and a ratio of $\geq 2$ was regarded as HER2 gene amplification. Breast cancer with HER2 amplification was taken as a positive control.

**RESULTS**

Twenty-five fine needle samples were executed on neoformations of various organs, in particular parotid, breast, skin and lymph nodes. Standard hematoxylin and eosin stains were performed, together with analyses by immunohistochemistry and molecular biology studies (FISH). Successively, histological analysis was always performed on tissues obtained either through surgical excision or tru-cut biopsy. Table I summarizes the different samples enrolled in this study, as well as the analyses performed. In detail, immunohistochemistry was carried out on all cases of breast malignancy through E-Cadherin, Estrogen and Progesterone receptors, Ki-67, and Her2-neu antibodies. Finally, in four cases with $2/3 +$ HER2 immunohistochemical protein staining, also FISH analysis was performed. The Cytomatrix processing method made it possible a final diagnosis in all cases examined. There were no inadequate or doubtful cases and histological analysis on tissues obtained by surgical excision or tru-cut biopsy confirmed the diagnostic data obtained with CytoMatrix. Finally, all the breast cancer samples collected with the synthetic matrix, were appropriate for immunohistochemical and FISH analysis, thus allowing the complete immunophenotypic and molecular description of the tumours. Nevertheless, also for immunohistochemistry and FISH analysis there was full agreement with the data obtained with the classic histological sampling. In figure 1 a paradigmatic example of histological and immunohistochemical staining in a ductal carcinoma performed on paraf-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE</th>
<th>CYTOMATRIX DIAGNOSIS</th>
<th>HISTOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>FISH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-cutis</td>
<td>Keratin cyst</td>
<td>Keratin cyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotid gland</td>
<td>Warthin’s tumor</td>
<td>Warthin’s tumor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotid gland</td>
<td>Pleomorphic adenoma</td>
<td>Pleomorphic adenoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Lymphoadenitis</td>
<td>Lymphoadenitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>Breast cancer metastasis</td>
<td>Breast cancer metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Ductal carcinoma</td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Fibroadenosis</td>
<td>Fibroadenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>Fibroadenosis</td>
<td>Fibroadenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Characteristics of the patients enrolled and immunohistochemical and FISH data performed.
DISCUSSION

Fine-needle aspiration is considered a not invasive, and easy to perform procedure to diagnose pathologies in various anatomical sites. In the last years with the significant step forwards in the knowledge of the molecular mechanisms causing cancer as well as other pathologies, there has been a significant increase in the requests of biochemical and molecular information in the cytdiagnosis with the final intent to better determine treatment and prognosis (11). Regrettably, cytology specimens, especially once the material has been fixed and stained for the observation at the microscope, often are not suitable for such analyses both for the quality and the quantity of the biological material (2, 3). Moreover, performing other cytological samplings may be not possible. Finally, a significant number of ancillary techniques on tissues have been settled to work on material fixed in formalin and paraffin-embedded. This is the case, for example, for immunohistochemistry and FISH (12).

In the scientific literature there is a plethora of cell block techniques that have been defined in order to solve these problems. Goal of this article is not to make a comparative analysis between these techniques and CytoMatrix, but to describe the novelty of this procedures and some preliminary data about its reliability.

The use of the synthetic matrix in collecting the cytological sampling allows, on the one hand to recover a significant amount of good quality cytological material and on the other, applies the same methods of histology for the final preparation of the histological preparation. Last but not least, the method allows to recover and observe under the microscope not only single cells, but also microscopic fragments of tissue that preserve, at least in part, the histological structure. This very often facilitates diagnosis. For this reason, we propose the term “micro-histological diagnosis” for this procedure. It is also necessary to consider that, since the biological material is included in paraffin, it is possible to carry out several consecutive sections, on which to perform different histological staining and/or immunohistochemical and FISH analysis techniques.

The CytoMatrix method is easy to apply, is reliable, but above all it can be of great help in those cases in which histological sampling is impractical or not recommended. This method encompasses all these areas: from the simplicity of sampling with a fine needle, to the specificity of the structure representation, to the possible use of the material taken for all further diagnostic investigations (histochemistry, immunohistochemistry, molecular biology). This method can be used not only to make a diagnosis (benign or malignant lesion), which is necessary for an improvement in the diagnostic and therapeutic process, but can be used in cases where histological sampling (as well as surgery) it is not recommended (severe cardiac, hematological and/or vascular pathologies) or impossible due to patient situations (advanced age, severe disability, multi-organ failure). In these cases, a simple sampling with a fine needle will be able to pick up suitable material on which it will be possible not only to make a diagnosis with hematoxylin and eosin staining, but with the material taken it will be possible to perform all the histochemistry, immunohistochemistry and also molecular biology tests. Data produced in this article support the idea that pathologists could include CytoMatrix method, among the various techniques adopted to investigate cytological specimens, to make the cytological material appropriate not only to morphological analysis, but also to immunohistochemical and molecular analysis. Further experimentations are ongoing to demonstrate the suitability of the cytological material collected with this technique for more sophisticated assays of molecular biology, such as mutational analysis and next generation sequencing.
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Figure 1. Histological and immunohistochemical pattern of the material collected by Cytomatrix from a fine needle aspirate of a lump of the breast. 

**a.** Histological analysis allowed the final diagnosis of ductal carcinoma. To note, the material stained with eosin is the remaining of the synthetic matrix (H&E, original magnification X10). 

**b.** Immunohistochemical analysis showed high expression of E-Cadherin (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**c.** The cancer displayed high expression of Estrogen receptor (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**d.** Expression pattern of Progesterone receptor (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**e.** Expression pattern of the proliferation marker ki67 in cancer cells (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**f.** High expression of HER2-neu on the cytoplasmic membrane of cancer cells (ABC, original magnification X10).

Figure 2. The histological and immunohistochemical pattern of the same carcinoma presented in figure 1 are overlapping with the data produced with Cytomatrix. 

**a.** Histological analysis confirmed the diagnosis of ductal carcinoma (H&E, original magnification X10). 

**b.** The cancer displayed high expression of Estrogen receptor (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**c.** Expression pattern of Progesterone receptor (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**d.** Expression pattern of the proliferation marker ki67 in cancer cells (ABC, original magnification X10). 

**e.** High expression of HER2-neu on the cytoplasmic membrane of cancer cells (ABC, original magnification X10).
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Figure 3. FISH analysis for HER2-neu of the material collected by CytoMatrix from a fine needle aspirate of two ductal carcinomas showing 2/3+ HER2 immunohistochemical protein expression. a. In this breast cancer specimen, the signal ratio of HER2 ≥ 2 was regarded as HER2-neu gene amplification. b. In this breast cancer specimen, the signal ratio of HER2 ≥ 2 was regarded as HER2-neu gene amplification. c. In this breast cancer specimen, the signal ratio of HER2 ≥ 2 was regarded as HER2-neu gene amplification. d. In this breast cancer specimen, the signal ratio of HER2 < 2 was regarded as no HER2-neu gene amplification.

---

Figure 4. Histological aspect of the material collected by CytoMatrix from a fine needle aspirate of a neoformation of the parotid gland. a. Histological analysis allowed the final diagnosis of Whartin’s tumour, based on the recognition of the two epithelial and lymphoid tissue components (H&E, original magnification X10). b. Higher magnification better showing the two different population of cells characteristic of Warthin’s tumour (H&E, original magnification X20).

---
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