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To the Editor,

in the oncologic setting, the primary goal of nutritional interventions is the avoidance of malnutrition as nutrition care is not only important in the prevention of cancer but also plays a role in cancer treatment compatibility (1). This assumption is based on a bulk of scientific literature. Unfortunately, every day a new diet is proposed to make weight loss easier while simultaneously reducing the intake of unhealthy foods. These diets, presented as effective both for prevention and complementary treatments for several diseases including cancer, are often anarchic, and many could put health in danger, not being scientifically validated (2-4).

We propose a simple “at home” approach capable of rapidly reducing calories intake and favoring weight loss, called interchangeable cutlery diet (ICD). It simply works by using the wrong cutlery for a given dish; i.e. fork for soup, spoon for steak, thereby making the consumption of food difficult without otherwise changing eating habits. The use of knives, especially if very sharp, is not recommended for the safety of diners.

Advantages of this dietary strategy are: (i) the pleasure of cooking is not lost, and you can invite friends for dinner without forcing them to eat tasteless foods; (ii) the cost of viands you may have to throw in the trash are compensated by the saving on unnecessary and expensive visits by nutritionists and on the purchase of prescribed dietary supplements and “dietetic” foods. Also, having pets may help.

Limitations are: (i) preclinical animal models, including studies in non-human primates, are not applicable in this setting; (ii) the ICD does not work for East Asian populations due to the lack of dissimilar cutlery, nor for fast-food/finger-food addicted persons.

Once validated (a pilot experience within our circle of friends has been limited by a high dropout rate) the ICD will help to get rid of those supposed “nutrition experts” who proliferate on TV and the web for whom smooth silk scarfs, Calvin Kline suits, and tongue are powerful substitutes for evidence (5, 6). In conclusion, although the suggested method is clearly a provocative call, it is intended to gain attention on a key aspect of the management of cancer patients, too often left at mercy of unreliable and potentially harmful information.
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